

# Pilot Decontamination in Wideband Massive MIMO Systems by Exploiting Channel Sparsity

Zhilin Chen and Chenyang Yang

## Abstract

This paper deals with pilot contamination problem for wideband massive multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) systems. Considering that typical massive MIMO channel is correlated in both space and frequency domains, we employ Karhunen-Loève Transform (KLT) and Discrete Fourier Transform (DFT) to capture the hidden sparsity of the channel. KLT basis is optimal in extracting the uncorrelated information from the channel, which however requires channel statistical information. As a suboptimal alternative, DFT basis can be determined without channel statistics, which is more viable for practical use. By representing the channel with DFT basis, we find that the subspaces of the desired channel and the interference channels are approximately orthogonal in sparse channel. This allows the desired channel to be distinguished from the interference simply by using linear minimum mean square error channel estimation. To reduce the required channel statistical information, we propose a pilot decontamination method using DFT basis to represent the channel. The method employs desired channel subspace aware least square channel estimation and desired channel subspace estimation to remove the pilot contamination, and employs pilot assignment to identify the subspace of the desired channel. Simulation results demonstrate substantial sum rate gain of the proposed method over existing methods.

## Index Terms

Massive MIMO, pilot contamination, channel sparsity, Karhunen-Loève Transform, Discrete Fourier Transform, channel estimation,

## I. INTRODUCTION

By installing a large number of antennas at base stations (BSs), massive multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) can increase the network throughput with order of magnitude [1]. This

Z. Chen and C. Yang are with the School of Electronics and Information Engineering, Beihang University, Beijing 100191, China, e-mail: {zchen,cyyang}@buaa.edu.cn. Part of this work was published in IEEE GlobalSIP 2014.

has made massive MIMO a promising candidate for the fifth-generation (5G) cellular networks [2].

The potential of massive MIMO can be achieved if instantaneous channel information is available at each BS. Considering the overhead to acquire channel information, time-division duplex (TDD) is widely recognized as a proper mode for massive MIMO systems, where the downlink channel is obtained through uplink training by exploiting the channel reciprocity. To reduce the training overhead of the network that may counteract the throughput gain, a group of orthogonal pilot sequences for multiple users are preferred to be reused among adjacent cells. As a result, the channel estimation is severely degraded by the interference from the users in neighbor cells with the same group of pilot sequences [1,3]. Such phenomenon is referred as the *pilot contamination*. As the number of antennas at BS  $M$  goes to infinity, the pilot contamination becomes a bottleneck in achieving the promised performance gain of massive MIMO systems [3,4].

To cope with the pilot contamination problem, significant research efforts have been made, and different approaches have been proposed. The problem was tackled by designing multi-cell linear precoders for downlink or robust detectors for uplink massive MIMO networks in [3,5]. An asynchronous uplink-downlink transmission scheme was proposed in [6] that avoids transmitting the same pilots among adjacent cells at the same time, which however cause interference between data and pilots. When the channels are assumed independent identically distributed (i.i.d.), the asymptotic orthogonality between the channels of the desired and interference users was exploited to mitigate the pilot contamination [7,8]. The authors in [7] proposed a subspace-based channel estimation method, where the desired channel was identified from the eigenvectors of the covariance matrix of the received samples with the help of the prior known average channel gains. The authors in [8] further introduced a power control protocol to identify the subspace of the signal of interest from the eigenvalue decomposition (EVD) of the sample covariance matrix. Yet under spatial correlated channels with a finite number of angles of arrival (AoA), the subspace-based channel estimation methods in [7,8] need to pay a penalty in an increased power ratio between the desired signal and the interference to ensure the same performance as in i.i.d. channels, as analyzed in [9]. Considering spatial correlated channels, the authors in [10] found that the pilot contamination vanishes when the minimum mean square error (MMSE) estimation is used if the subspaces of the correlation matrices of the desired and interference channels

satisfy a non-overlapping condition and if  $M \rightarrow \infty$ . A coordinated pilot assignment method was then proposed to meet such a condition. Without knowing the second-order channel statistics of every user as in [10], a pilot assignment policy was proposed in [11], which assigns the pilot sequence with weakest interference to the user with worst channel quality, but the average channel gains are assumed available at the BS and the BSs need to know the pilot assignment results of other cells. In [12, 13], the same pilot sequences are assigned only for cell-center users while orthogonal pilots are for cell-edge users. In [14], a pilot sequence hopping policy was proposed to randomize the pilot contamination in Kalman filter based channel estimation in time-varying channels.

In this paper, we propose an alternative solution to remove the pilot contamination by exploiting channel sparsity. In real-world cellular systems, the multipath channel is usually characterized by a few dominant paths [15], hence the channels are often correlated in spatial domain and frequency domain. On the other hand, 5G systems will inevitably be wideband in order to support the high demands in throughput. As a result, the channels in wideband massive MIMO systems are inherent sparse in spatial (i.e., angle) domain and time domain. Such a fact has largely been overlooked in the context of pilot decontamination. Channel sparsity has widely been used to reduce the training and feedback overhead in acquiring channels at the BS or improving channel estimation quality for massive MIMO systems, e.g., [16] and reference therein. Nonetheless, channel sparsity has never been exploited in pilot decontamination to the best of our knowledge.

We take orthogonal frequency division multiplexing (OFDM) massive MIMO system as an example of wideband massive MIMO. We employ Karhunen-Loève Transform (KLT) and Discrete Fourier Transform (DFT) to reflect the channel sparsity and represent the channel under KLT basis and DFT basis. KLT is optimal in channel representation without redundant information. However, KLT requires channel statistical information, which needs extra overhead to obtain in practice. Therefore, we focus on the suboptimal DFT, which can be predefined without the knowledge of channel statistics. The main contribution is summarized as follows.

- By representing the spatial-frequency channel by the DFT basis, we find that the subspaces of the desire channel and the interference channels are approximately orthogonal in sparse channels. Such an observation suggests that the pilot contamination in wideband massive MIMO under spatial and frequency correlated channels can be simply removed by linear MMSE channel estimation.

- To reduce the required channel statistical information at each BS, we propose a pilot decontamination method based on the DFT basis, which employ least square (LS) channel estimation to remove the pilot contamination with the help of the subspace of the desired channel. To estimate the desired channel subspace, we randomize the interference in training by changing the pilot assignment in adjacent cells over successive uplink training frames. Simulations results demonstrate that the proposed method can effectively mitigate the pilot contamination and is superior to existing methods.

Noting that the orthogonality among the desired channel subspace and interference channel subspace were also exploited for pilot decontamination in [7,8,10]. Yet our method differs from the previous works in the following aspects.

- A pilot assignment strategy was proposed in [10] to artificially make the subspaces of the desired channel and the interference channel to be orthogonal. By contrast, we find that the subspace of the desired channel and the interference channel are in nature approximately orthogonal in sparse channels.
- Second-order channel statistical information was assumed known in [10] in order to assign identical pilot sequences to the users in adjacent cells with maximum orthogonality of signal subspaces. By contrast, the proposed pilot decontamination method does not need channel statistical information, and the pilots are assigned without sharing information among BSs.
- Asymptotic orthogonality of the i.i.d. channels as well as priori known channel power or power control were exploited in [7] or [8] to identify the subspace of the desired channel. As a result, very large number of antennas is essential to decontaminate the pilots. By contrast, considering more realistic spatial-frequency correlated channels for massive MIMO-OFDM systems, we propose a pilot assignment policy to identify the desired channel subspace by exploiting the approximate orthogonality of the sparse channels. Consequently, the proposed method performs fairly well even when the number of antennas is not so large.

Moreover, the proposed pilot assignment policy differs from other relevant policies proposed in [11–14]. The purpose of our policy is to acquire the subspace of the desired channel to facilitate the subspace aware LS channel estimation, where existing policies strive to avoid interference

by coordinating the pilots [11–13] or randomize the pilot contamination [14]. As a result, the proposed method does not need average channel gains for assigning pilots as in [11–13], and does not need the priori information in Kalman filter and is not only applicable for time-varying channels as in [14].

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section II introduces the system and physical channel model, and Section III elaborates the sparse channel presentation under KLT and DFT basis. In Section IV, the channel decontamination method is proposed, which includes channel estimation, subspace acquisition and pilot assignment policy. Simulation results are shown in Section V. Sections VI concludes the paper.

*Notations:* Uppercase and lowercase boldface denote matrices and vectors, respectively.  $(\cdot)^T$ ,  $(\cdot)^*$  and  $(\cdot)^H$ ,  $(\cdot)^\dagger$  denote transpose, conjugate, conjugate transpose and pseudo-inversion, respectively.  $\mathbf{I}_N$  denotes the  $N \times N$  identity matrix.  $\mathbf{A}[n, m]$  and  $\mathbf{a}[n]$  denote the  $(n, m)$ th entry of  $\mathbf{A}$  and the  $n$ th entry of  $\mathbf{a}$ , respectively.  $\text{Span}(\mathbf{A})$  denotes the linear space spanned by the columns of  $\mathbf{A}$ ,  $\text{Rank}(\mathbf{A})$  denotes the rank of  $\mathbf{A}$ , and  $\text{vec}(\mathbf{A})$  denotes the vectorizing operation by stacking the columns of  $\mathbf{A}$ .  $|\cdot|$  denotes the magnitude of a complex variable, and  $\|\cdot\|$  denotes the Frobenius norm,  $\otimes$  denotes Kronecker product, and  $\mathbb{E}\{\cdot\}$  denotes the expectation.

## II. SYSTEM AND CHANNEL MODEL

### A. System Model

Consider a downlink massive MIMO-OFDM cellular network with full frequency reused cells. In each cell, a BS equipped with  $M$  antennas serves  $K_c$  single-antenna users on  $N$  subcarriers. We consider  $B$  adjacent cells that may cause interference with each other.

We consider TDD systems, where in each frame the downlink channel for precoding is obtained through uplink training by exploiting the channel reciprocity, and each frame includes two phases of uplink training and downlink transmission.

Assume that the channel is block fading, i.e., the channel is constant during each frame but may vary from one to another.

To facilitate channel estimation for each user in the uplink training phase, pilots are inserted into subcarriers, and the pilots of multiple users within the same cell are orthogonal to avoid multiuser interference. For ease of exposition and without loss of generality, we consider time-

division orthogonality, where each user occupies one OFDM symbol for training and different users employ different symbols. Then, totally  $K_c$  OFDM symbols are required for each cell.

To reduce the overall training overhead of the network, assume that the  $K_c$  OFDM training symbols are reused by the adjacent  $B$  cells, which may cause the pilot contamination.

### B. Channel Model

To simplify the notations, in the sequel we first take an arbitrary user and an arbitrary BS in the network as an example to introduce the channel model and different representations.

Since in typical massive MIMO systems the antennas co-located at the BS are closely-spaced, the channels between all transmit antennas and each user share the common scatters. Hence, the channel impulse responses between different antennas in a BS and a user have similar path delays, and the channel vector between the BS and the user can be modeled as [17]

$$\mathbf{h}_T(\tau) = \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} \beta_l \mathbf{a}(\theta_l) \delta(\tau - \tau_l) \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times 1} \quad (1)$$

where  $L$  is the number of paths,  $\beta_l$  is the complex amplitude of the  $l$ th path with  $\beta_l$  independent from  $\beta_j$  for  $l \neq j$ ,  $\tau_l$  is the corresponding path delay,  $\mathbf{a}(\theta_l) \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times 1}$  is the array response vector,  $\theta_l$  is the AOA of the  $l$ th path, and  $\delta(\cdot)$  is the Dirac function.

Based on (1), the channel frequency response is given by

$$\mathbf{h}_F(f) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathbf{h}_T(\tau) e^{-j2\pi f\tau} d\tau = \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} \beta_l \mathbf{a}(\theta_l) e^{-j2\pi f\tau_l} \quad (2)$$

The *spatial-frequency channel* coefficients of all the  $N$  subcarriers in the considered system can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H} &= [ \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_T(f_0) \quad \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_T(f_1) \quad \cdots \quad \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_T(f_{N-1}) ] \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} \beta_l \mathbf{a}(\theta_l) \mathbf{b}^T(\tau_l) \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times N} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where  $\mathbf{b}(\tau_l) = [ e^{-j2\pi f_0 \tau_l} \quad e^{-j2\pi f_1 \tau_l} \quad \cdots \quad e^{-j2\pi f_{N-1} \tau_l} ]^T$ , and  $f_n$  is the frequency of the  $n$ th subcarrier.

Due to the limited scattering in the propagation environment of typical cellular massive MIMO systems with tower-mounted BSs, the channels are highly correlated in both space and frequency domains. To perceive the resulting channel sparsity, we re-express the spatial-frequency channel with sparse representation in the next section.

### III. SPARSE REPRESENTATION OF THE CHANNEL

Denote the *spatial-frequency channel* matrix  $\mathbf{H}$  defined in (3) in the vector form as  $\mathbf{h} \triangleq \text{vec}(\mathbf{H}) \in \mathbb{C}^{MN \times 1}$ .

In the sequel, we use KLT basis and DFT basis to represent the channel, and discuss their relation.

It is a natural way to use KLT to reflect the sparsity of the channel, since KLT is optimal to capture the essential information in random channels. However, the KLT of a channel depends on the second-order statistics of the channel. In practical systems, large amount of received samples are required to compute and update the KLT basis. As a suboptimal alternative, DFT basis is more viable for practical use.

#### A. Karhunen-Loève Transform Representation

Considering that the multipath components  $\beta_l, l = 1, \dots, L$  are independent, the correlation matrix of the spatial-frequency channel can be derived from (3) as follows

$$\mathbf{R}_h \triangleq \mathbb{E}\{\mathbf{h}\mathbf{h}^H\} = \mathbb{E}\{\text{vec}(\mathbf{H})\text{vec}(\mathbf{H})^H\} \quad (4)$$

$$= \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} \mathbb{E}\{|\beta_l|^2\} (\mathbf{b}(\tau_l) \otimes \mathbf{a}(\theta_l)) (\mathbf{b}^H(\tau_l) \otimes \mathbf{a}^H(\theta_l)) \quad (5)$$

$$= \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} \mathbb{E}\{|\beta_l|^2\} (\mathbf{b}(\tau_l) \mathbf{b}^H(\tau_l)) \otimes (\mathbf{a}(\theta_l) \mathbf{a}^H(\theta_l)) \quad (6)$$

$$\triangleq \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} \mathbb{E}\{|\beta_l|^2\} \mathbf{R}_b \otimes \mathbf{R}_a, \quad (7)$$

where (5) is obtained by using  $\text{vec}(\mathbf{ABC}) = (\mathbf{C}^T \otimes \mathbf{A})\text{vec}(\mathbf{B})$ , (6) is obtained by using  $(\mathbf{AB}) \otimes (\mathbf{CD}) = (\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{C})(\mathbf{B} \otimes \mathbf{D})$ ,  $\mathbf{R}_b \triangleq \mathbf{b}(\tau^l) \mathbf{b}^H(\tau^l)$  and  $\mathbf{R}_a \triangleq \mathbf{a}(\theta^l) \mathbf{a}^H(\theta^l)$ .

The channel correlation matrix  $\mathbf{R}_h$  is of size  $MN \times MN$ . Since both  $\mathbf{b}(\tau^l)$  and  $\mathbf{a}(\theta^l)$  are vectors, we have  $\text{Rank}(\mathbf{R}_b) = 1$  and  $\text{Rank}(\mathbf{R}_a) = 1$ . By using  $\text{Rank}(\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{B}) = \text{Rank}(\mathbf{A})\text{Rank}(\mathbf{B})$  and  $\text{Rank}(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B}) \leq \text{Rank}(\mathbf{A}) + \text{Rank}(\mathbf{B})$ , we have

$$r_h \triangleq \text{Rank}(\mathbf{R}_h) \leq L$$

Because  $L \ll MN$  in massive MIMO-OFDM system,  $\mathbf{R}_h$  is a low rank matrix.

Since  $\mathbf{R}_h$  is a Hermitian matrix, by taking eigenvalue decomposition, the matrix can be expressed as [18]

$$\mathbf{R}_h = \mathbf{U}_K \Lambda_h \mathbf{U}_K^H \quad (8)$$

where  $\Lambda_h$  is a  $r_h \times r_h$  diagonal matrix whose diagonal elements are the non-zero eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{R}_h$ , and  $\mathbf{U}_K$  is a  $MN \times r_h$  tall unitary matrix constituted by the eigenvectors of  $\mathbf{R}_h$ .

Then, the KLT representation of the channel is [18]

$$\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{U}_K \mathbf{g}_K \quad (9)$$

where  $\mathbf{g}_K \in \mathbb{C}^{r_h \times 1}$  is the uncorrelated projection coefficients of  $\mathbf{h}$  on the KLT basis,  $\mathbb{E}\{\mathbf{g}_K \mathbf{g}_K^H\} = \Lambda_h$ , and the subscript ‘‘K’’ stands for KLT.

The subspace of the spatial-frequency channel can be obtained as  $\text{Span}(\mathbf{U}_K)$ .

### B. Discrete Fourier Transform Representation

To obtain the KLT basis, the statistical information  $\mathbf{R}_h$  should be available, which needs to estimate in practice. To avoid estimating  $\mathbf{R}_h$ , we can use DFT basis as a suboptimal substitute. The reasons why we consider DFT basis are as follows. 1) DFT basis can be pre-determined without the knowledge of channel statistics. 2) DFT basis is equivalent to KLT basis in special channels as shown later. 3) The channel represented in time-domain and frequency-domain are naturally connected via Fourier transform. Furthermore, when the antenna array is uniform linear array (ULA) or uniform planar array,<sup>1</sup> the channel represented in space-domain and angular-domain are also connected via Fourier transform [20]. Then, the space-frequency channel representation in (3) can be transformed into angular-time domain simply by using DFT, where the channel sparsity can be easily observed.

To illustrate the basic principle of the proposed pilot decontamination method with DFT basis, in the sequel we consider ULA at the BS. Then, the array response vector  $\mathbf{a}(\theta_l)$  in (1) can be expressed as

$$\mathbf{a}(\theta_l) = [ 1 \quad e^{-j2\pi \frac{d}{\lambda} \sin(\theta_l)} \quad \dots \quad e^{-j2\pi \frac{d}{\lambda} \sin(\theta_l)(M-1)} ]^T$$

where  $\lambda$  is the wavelength, and  $d$  is the antenna space.

<sup>1</sup>For other antenna arrays, such as circular and spherical arrays, the transformation between spatial domain and angular domain is more complex, which can be found in [19].



Denote the subcarrier separation as  $\Delta$  and set  $f_0 = 0$ . Then, the vector  $\mathbf{b}(\tau_l)$  in (3) can be expressed as

$$\mathbf{b}(\tau_l) = [ 1 \quad e^{-j2\pi\Delta\tau_l} \quad \dots \quad e^{-j2\pi(N-1)\Delta\tau_l} ]^T \quad (10)$$

Denote  $\mathbf{F}_M \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times M}$  as a  $M$ -point Fourier transformation matrix with the  $(n, m)$ th entry as  $\mathbf{F}_M[n, m] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{M}} e^{-j2\pi \frac{nm}{M}}$ ,  $0 \leq n, m \leq M-1$ , and similarly  $\mathbf{F}_N \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$  as the  $N$ -point Fourier transformation matrix. Then, the *angular-time channel* can be expressed in matrix form as

$$\mathbf{G} \triangleq \mathbf{F}_M^H \mathbf{H} \mathbf{F}_N^* = \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} \beta_l \mathbf{F}_M^H \mathbf{a}(\theta_l) \mathbf{b}^T(\tau_l) \mathbf{F}_N^* \triangleq \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} \beta_l \mathbf{p} \mathbf{q}^T \quad (11)$$

where  $\mathbf{p} \triangleq \mathbf{F}_M^H \mathbf{a}(\theta_l)$ , and  $\mathbf{q}^T \triangleq \mathbf{b}^T(\tau_l) \mathbf{F}_N^*$ .

The  $m$ th entry of  $\mathbf{p}$  can be derived as  $\mathbf{p}[m] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{M}} \frac{\sin(\pi\psi M)}{\sin(\pi\psi)} e^{-j\pi\psi(M-1)}$ , where  $\psi \triangleq \frac{d}{\lambda} \sin(\theta_l) - \frac{m}{M}$ . From the expression of  $\frac{\sin(\pi\psi M)}{\sin(\pi\psi)}$ , one can find that with large number of antennas  $M$ , the value of  $\mathbf{p}[m]$  is large only when  $|\psi| < \frac{1}{M}$ . In other words, only the multipath components with AOA  $\theta_l$  satisfying  $|\psi| < \frac{1}{M}$  contribute to  $\mathbf{p}[m]$  significantly.

Similarly, the  $n$ th entry of  $\mathbf{q}$  can be derived as  $\mathbf{q}[n] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \frac{\sin(\pi\phi N)}{\sin(\pi\phi)} e^{-j\pi\phi(N-1)}$ , where  $\phi \triangleq \Delta\tau_l - \frac{n}{N}$ . Considering that in OFDM system, the symbol duration is  $1/\Delta$ , which is designed to far exceed the maximum of delay  $\tau_l$ , then we have  $0 < \Delta\tau_l < 1$ . Because  $0 \leq \frac{n}{N} < 1$ , we have  $|\phi| < 1$ . Again, one can find that with large number of subcarriers  $N$ ,  $\mathbf{q}[n]$  is significant when  $|\phi| < \frac{1}{N}$ . In other words, only the multipath components with delay  $\tau_l$  satisfying  $|\phi| < \frac{1}{N}$  contribute to  $\mathbf{q}[n]$  significantly.

This suggests that for massive MIMO-OFDM systems the  $(n, m)$ th entry of  $\mathbf{G}$  is large if there exist multipath components with AOA  $\theta_l$  and delay  $\tau_l$  respectively satisfying  $|\frac{d}{\lambda} \sin(\theta_l) - \frac{n}{M}| < \frac{1}{M}$  and  $|\Delta\tau_l - \frac{n}{N}| < \frac{1}{N}$ . Due to the limited scattering, the number of multipath components is finite. As a result, only a few elements in  $\mathbf{G}$  are significant and other elements can be regarded as zero. In other words,  $\mathbf{G}$  can be approximated as a sparse matrix when  $M$  or  $N$  is large.

Denote the angular-time channel matrix  $\mathbf{G}$  in vector form as  $\mathbf{g} \triangleq \text{vec}(\mathbf{G})$ .

Because  $\mathbf{F}_M \mathbf{F}_M^H = \mathbf{I}_M$ ,  $\mathbf{F}_N \mathbf{F}_N^H = \mathbf{I}_N$ , from (11) the *spatial-frequency channel* is connected with the *angular-time channel* as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{h} &= \text{vec}(\mathbf{H}) = \text{vec}(\mathbf{F}_M \mathbf{G} \mathbf{F}_N^T) \\ &= (\mathbf{F}_N \otimes \mathbf{F}_M) \text{vec}(\mathbf{G}) = (\mathbf{F}_N \otimes \mathbf{F}_M) \mathbf{g} \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Select the most significant  $r_g$  elements from  $\mathbf{g}$  to construct a new vector  $\mathbf{g}_D \triangleq \mathbf{S}\mathbf{g} \in \mathbb{C}^{r_g \times 1}$ , where  $\mathbf{S}$  is a  $r_g \times MN$  binary selection matrix with only one "1" in each row and  $\mathbf{S}\mathbf{S}^H = \mathbf{I}_{r_g}$ . The selection matrix  $\mathbf{S}$  depends on the AOAs and delays of the multipath components. Because in practice the delays and AOAs vary slowly [21], the selection matrix stays the same before the channel statistics change.

In practice, the value of  $r_g$  can be determined by a threshold [22] or be optimized [23]. By discarding  $MN - r_g$  insignificant components of  $\mathbf{g}$ , the spatial-frequency channel vector can be approximately represented as

$$\mathbf{h} \approx \mathbf{U}_D \mathbf{g}_D \quad (13)$$

where  $\mathbf{U}_D \triangleq (\mathbf{F}_N \otimes \mathbf{F}_M) \mathbf{S}^H$  is the corresponding columns selected from  $(\mathbf{F}_N \otimes \mathbf{F}_M)$ , and subscript "D" stands for DFT.

By substituting (13) to (4), we can obtain the channel correlation matrix as

$$\mathbf{R}_h \approx \mathbf{U}_D \Xi_g \mathbf{U}_D^H \quad (14)$$

where  $\Xi_g \triangleq \mathbb{E}\{\mathbf{g}_D \mathbf{g}_D^H\}$ .

The elements of  $\mathbf{g}$  (and hence also  $\mathbf{g}_D$ ) are approximately uncorrelated when the multipath components are independent [21]. Therefore,  $\Xi_g$  can be approximated as a diagonal matrix.

This suggests that (14) is approximately an EVD of  $\mathbf{R}_h$ , and the columns of  $\mathbf{U}_D$  are approximately the eigenvectors. Therefore, the subspace of the spatial-frequency channel vector  $\mathbf{h}$  can be approximated as  $\text{Span}(\mathbf{U}_D)$ .

### C. Approximated Subspace Orthogonality Between Channels

To gain useful insight for designing the pilot decontamination method, in what follows we show that the subspaces of different channel vectors are approximately orthogonal.

Because the columns of  $\mathbf{U}_D$  are selected from  $(\mathbf{F}_N \otimes \mathbf{F}_M)$  based on the selection matrix  $\mathbf{S}$ , it is not hard to obtain the following proposition.

*Proposition 1:* For two channel vectors  $\mathbf{g}$  and  $\mathbf{g}'$  respectively with the selection matrices  $\mathbf{S}$  and  $\mathbf{S}'$  satisfying  $\mathbf{S}\mathbf{S}'^H = \mathbf{0}$ , the approximate eigenvectors of the two channel vectors are orthogonal, i.e.,  $\mathbf{U}_D^H \mathbf{U}'_D = \mathbf{0}$ .




Fig. 1. An example of the angular-time channel matrix  $\mathbf{G}$ , the elements without dot denote insignificant values.

Because the selection matrices  $\mathbf{S}$  and  $\mathbf{S}'$  are binary matrices with only one “1” in each row,  $\mathbf{S}\mathbf{S}'^H = \mathbf{0}$  suggests that the positions of “1” in  $\mathbf{S}$  and  $\mathbf{S}'$  are totally different. In other words, the sparse representations  $\mathbf{g}_D = \mathbf{S}\mathbf{g}$  and  $\mathbf{g}'_D = \mathbf{S}'\mathbf{g}'$  are selected from different entries from  $\mathbf{g}$  and  $\mathbf{g}'$ .

Recall that only the multipath components with AOA  $\theta_l$  and delay  $\tau_l$  satisfying  $|\frac{d}{\lambda} \sin(\theta_l) - \frac{n}{M}| < \frac{1}{M}$  and  $|\Delta\tau_l - \frac{n}{N}| < \frac{1}{N}$  contribute to the significant entries of  $\mathbf{G}$ . When the number of antennas  $M$  and number of subcarriers  $N$  are large, i.e., the spatial resolution and frequency resolution are large, the multipath components with different AOAs and delays will contribute to different positions in  $\mathbf{G}$  (and hence in  $\mathbf{g}$ ).

In practice, when the channel vectors of two users, e.g.,  $\mathbf{g}$  of the desired user and  $\mathbf{g}'$  of the interference user, are independent, it is highly probable that the multipath components of the two channels have different AOAs and delays, as illustrated in Fig. 1. Therefore, it is very likely that  $\mathbf{S}\mathbf{S}'^H = \mathbf{0}$  for the two channel vectors, and then  $\mathbf{U}_D^H \mathbf{U}'_D = \mathbf{0}$ , i.e., the approximate subspaces of the two channels  $\text{Span}(\mathbf{U}_D)$  and  $\text{Span}(\mathbf{U}'_D)$  are orthogonal. This implies that the true subspaces of the two channels  $\text{Span}(\mathbf{U}_K)$  and  $\text{Span}(\mathbf{U}'_K)$  are approximately orthogonal.

In [7, 8], the asymptotic orthogonality of the i.i.d. channels when  $M \rightarrow \infty$  are exploited to separate the desired channel and interference channel. Yet Proposition 1 and the above analysis indicate that in spatial-frequency correlated channels the subspaces of the desire channel and the interference channel, say  $\mathbf{g}$  and  $\mathbf{g}'$ , are approximately orthogonal, either when the number of antenna  $M$  is large (but no necessarily infinity) or when the number of subcarriers  $N$  is large. This suggests that we can differentiate the desired channel from the interference in the

real-world non-i.i.d. channels in the ‘‘not-so-large’’ antenna region.

#### D. Relationship of KLT and DFT Basis

In the sequel, we show that the two basis are equivalent in special channels. Before presenting the result, we first introduce a definition. The matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  is a circulant matrix if each row is a right cyclic shift of the row above it. For example, the  $(i, j)$ th entry of  $\mathbf{A}$  satisfies  $\mathbf{A}[i, j] = a_{(j-i) \bmod N}$ , where  $[a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{N-1}]$  denotes the first row of  $\mathbf{A}$ .

*Proposition 2:* If the two matrices in (7),  $\mathbf{R}_a \triangleq \mathbf{a}(\theta^l)\mathbf{a}^H(\theta^l)$  and  $\mathbf{R}_b \triangleq \mathbf{b}(\tau^l)\mathbf{b}^H(\tau^l)$ , are circulant matrices, the KLT basis is equivalent to the DFT basis.

*Proof:* Since any circulant matrix can be diagonalized by DFT [24], we have  $\mathbf{R}_b = \mathbf{F}_N \Lambda_b \mathbf{F}_N^H$  and  $\mathbf{R}_a = \mathbf{F}_M \Lambda_a \mathbf{F}_M^H$ , where  $\Lambda_b$  and  $\Lambda_a$  are diagonal matrices. The channel correlation matrix  $\mathbf{R}_h$  in (7) can then be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R}_h &= \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} \mathbb{E}\{|\beta_l|^2\} \mathbf{R}_b \otimes \mathbf{R}_a \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} \mathbb{E}\{|\beta_l|^2\} (\mathbf{F}_N \Lambda_b \mathbf{F}_N^H) \otimes (\mathbf{F}_M \Lambda_a \mathbf{F}_M^H) \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

$$= (\mathbf{F}_N \otimes \mathbf{F}_M) \left( \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} \mathbb{E}\{|\beta_l|^2\} \Lambda_a \otimes \Lambda_b \right) (\mathbf{F}_N^H \otimes \mathbf{F}_M^H) \quad (16)$$

where  $\Lambda_a$  and  $\Lambda_b$  are diagonal matrices.

Since  $(\sum_{l=0}^{L-1} \mathbb{E}\{|\beta_l|^2\} \Lambda_a \otimes \Lambda_b)$  is a diagonal matrix, the columns of  $(\mathbf{F}_N \otimes \mathbf{F}_M)$  form the eigenvectors of  $\mathbf{R}_h$ . We see that the DFT basis is exactly the KLT basis. ■

Actually, by substituting the expression of  $\mathbf{b}(\tau^l)$  in (10) into  $\mathbf{R}_b$ , we can derive  $\mathbf{R}_b[i, j] = e^{j2\pi\Delta\tau_l(j-i)}$ . It is easy to see that when  $e^{j2\pi\Delta\tau_l N} = 1$ ,  $\mathbf{R}_b$  is a circulant matrix. To meet this condition,  $\tau_l$  should satisfy  $\tau_l = \frac{k}{N\Delta}$ , where  $k$  is an arbitrary integer. Because  $N\Delta$  is the sampling rate of OFDM system, the condition  $\tau_l = \frac{k}{N\Delta}$  indicates that when the delay  $\tau_l$  is divisible by the sampling interval,  $\mathbf{R}_b$  is a circulant matrix. Similarly, it is not hard to show that when the AOA  $\sin(\theta_l)$  is divisible by  $\frac{1}{N\frac{d}{\lambda}}$ ,  $\mathbf{R}_a$  is a circulant matrix.

## IV. PROPOSED PILOT DECONTAMINATION METHOD

We consider two cases in this section, where each BS either knows or does not know the channel correlation matrices of its own and its interference users.

When the channel correlation matrices are available at each user, we show that the pilot contamination can be mitigated by estimating the spatial-frequency channel with linear MMSE criterion under sparse channels.

Otherwise, we propose a pilot decontamination method to exploit the channel sparsity, which includes desired channel subspace aware channel estimation, desired channel subspace acquisition, and a pilot assignment policy.

To differentiate the notations of the channels and corresponding sparse representations of multiple users in different cells, we insert subscripts in the following. For example, for  $\mathbf{H}$  in (3) and  $\mathbf{U}_K$  in (9), we respectively use  $\mathbf{H}_{bj_k}$  and  $\mathbf{U}_{K,bj_k}$  to denote the *spatial-frequency channel* matrix and the KLT basis of the channel from the  $k$ th user in the  $j$ th cell to the  $b$ th BS.

In the uplink training phase, we consider that both the  $k$ th user in the  $b$ th cell and the  $\kappa_j$ th user in the  $j$ th ( $j \neq b$ ) cell transmit the pilot  $x_0, \dots, x_{N-1}$  on all  $N$  subcarriers in the same OFDM symbol.

After dropping the cyclic prefix and performing DFT, the received training signals on the  $M$  antennas and  $N$  subcarriers at the  $b$ th BS can be expressed as

$$\mathbf{Y}_b = \mathbf{H}_{bb_k} \mathbf{X} + \sum_{j=0, j \neq b}^{B-1} \mathbf{H}_{bj_{\kappa_j}} \mathbf{X} + \mathbf{W}_b \quad (17)$$

where  $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$  is a diagonal matrix with diagonal entries as the pilots  $x_0, \dots, x_{N-1}$ ,  $\mathbf{H}_{bb_k} \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times N}$  is the channel of the desired user seen at the  $b$ th BS (referred to as the desired channel),  $\mathbf{H}_{bj_{\kappa_j}} \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times N}$  is the channel of the interference user from a neighboring cell (referred to as the interference channel), and  $\mathbf{W}_b \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times N}$  is the additive Gaussian noise matrix whose entries are with zero mean and variance  $\sigma_n^2$ . The second term at the right hand side of (17) is the inter-cell interference, which causes the pilot contamination.

#### A. With Known Channel Statistics

To simplify the expressions in the following derivation, we remove the pilots and use vectorization to the received training signal in (17). Then, we have,

$$\mathbf{y}_b \triangleq \text{vec}(\mathbf{Y}_b \mathbf{X}^{-1}) = \mathbf{h}_{bb_k} + \sum_{j=0, j \neq b}^{B-1} \mathbf{h}_{bj_{\kappa_j}} + \mathbf{w}_b \quad (18)$$

$$= \mathbf{U}_{K,bbk} \mathbf{g}_{K,bbk} + \sum_{j=0, j \neq b}^{B-1} \mathbf{U}_{K,bj\kappa_j} \mathbf{g}_{K,bj\kappa_j} + \mathbf{w}_b \quad (19)$$

where  $\mathbf{w}_b \triangleq \text{vec}(\mathbf{W}_b \mathbf{X}^{-1})$ , and (19) is obtained by using the KLT representation in (9).

Under MMSE criterion, it is not hard to show that the sparse channel representation  $\mathbf{g}_{K,bbk}$  can be estimated as

$$\hat{\mathbf{g}}_{K,bbk} = \Lambda_{h,bbk} \mathbf{U}_{K,bbk}^H (\sigma_n^2 \mathbf{I}_{MN} + \mathbf{U}_{K,bbk}^H \Lambda_{h,bbk} \mathbf{U}_{K,bbk} + \sum_{j=0, j \neq b}^{B-1} \mathbf{U}_{K,bj\kappa_j}^H \Lambda_{h,bj\kappa_j} \mathbf{U}_{K,bj\kappa_j})^{-1} \mathbf{y}_b \quad (20)$$

Then, the *spatial-frequency channel* vector of the desired user can be estimated as

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathbf{h}}_{bbk} &= \mathbf{U}_{K,bbk} \hat{\mathbf{g}}_{K,bbk} \\ &= \mathbf{R}_{bbk} (\sigma_n^2 \mathbf{I}_{MN} + \mathbf{R}_{bbk} + \sum_{j=0, j \neq b}^{B-1} \mathbf{R}_{bj\kappa_j})^{-1} \mathbf{y}_b \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

According to the analysis in [10], when the subspace of interference channel and the subspace of desired channel are orthogonal, the interference can be thoroughly removed by linear MMSE channel estimation when  $M \rightarrow \infty$ .

From previous discussion on the channel correlation matrix in section III.C, we know that the subspaces between the desired channel and interference channel are approximately orthogonal in massive MIMO-OFDM systems under spatial-frequency correlated channels. This implies that the pilot contamination can be mitigated simply by using linear MMSE channel estimation in sparse channels. In other words, the pilot contamination can be eliminated by using (20) and (21) if the correlation matrices of the desired and interference channels  $\mathbf{R}_{bbk}$  and  $\mathbf{R}_{bj\kappa_j}$  are known at each BS.

At the first glance, this is nothing more than extending the results in [10] from merely spatial correlated channel to spatial-frequency correlated channels. However, when noticing the fact that spatial-frequency correlated channels are inherently sparse in massive MIMO-OFDM systems, the pilot contamination can be removed by the MMSE channel estimation without the need of pilot coordination.

### B. Without Known Channel Statistics

It is necessary to acquire  $\mathbf{R}_{bbk}$  and  $\sum_{j=0, j \neq b}^{B-1} \mathbf{R}_{bj\kappa_j}$  for the KLT-based channel estimation. In real-world systems, it is not easy to obtain accurate channel correlation matrices in general,

especially the correlation matrices of the interference channels. To circumvent this problem, we can use DFT basis instead of KLT basis to represent the channel before channel estimation. Substituting (13) into (18), we have

$$\mathbf{y}_b \approx \mathbf{U}_{D,bbk} \mathbf{g}_{D,bbk} + \sum_{j=0, j \neq b}^{B-1} \mathbf{U}_{D,bj\kappa_j} \mathbf{g}_{D,bj\kappa_j} + \mathbf{w}_b \quad (22)$$

Under the MMSE criterion, it is not hard to show that the sparse channel representation  $\mathbf{g}_{D,bbk}$  can be estimated as

$$\hat{\mathbf{g}}_{D,bbk} \approx \Xi_{g,bbk} \mathbf{U}_{D,bbk}^H (\sigma_n^2 \mathbf{I}_{MN} + \mathbf{U}_{D,bbk}^H \Xi_{g,bbk} \mathbf{U}_{D,bbk} + \sum_{j=0, j \neq b}^{B-1} \mathbf{U}_{D,bj\kappa_j}^H \Xi_{g,bj\kappa_j} \mathbf{U}_{D,bj\kappa_j})^{-1} \mathbf{y}_b \quad (23)$$

and the *spatial-frequency channel* can be estimated as

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathbf{h}}_{bbk} &\approx \mathbf{U}_{D,bbk} \hat{\mathbf{g}}_{D,bbk} \\ &= \mathbf{U}_{D,bbk} \Xi_{g,bbk} \mathbf{U}_{D,bbk}^H (\sigma_n^2 \mathbf{I}_{MN} + \mathbf{U}_{D,bbk}^H \Xi_{g,bbk} \mathbf{U}_{D,bbk} + \sum_{j=0, j \neq b}^{B-1} \mathbf{U}_{D,bj\kappa_j}^H \Xi_{g,bj\kappa_j} \mathbf{U}_{D,bj\kappa_j})^{-1} \mathbf{y}_b \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

where  $\mathbf{U}_{D,bbk}$  and  $\mathbf{U}_{D,bj\kappa_j}$  are respectively the approximated subspace of desired channel and that of interference channel,  $\Xi_{g,bbk}$  and  $\Xi_{g,bj\kappa_j}$  are approximately diagonal matrices respectively with the approximated eigenvalues of desired and interference channels as their diagonal entries.

To estimate channel with (23) and (24), the matrices  $\mathbf{U}_{D,bbk}$ ,  $\mathbf{U}_{D,bj\kappa_j}$ ,  $\Xi_{g,bbk}$  and  $\Xi_{g,bj\kappa_j}$  should be available.

The approximated subspace of desired channel  $\mathbf{U}_{D,bbk}$  can be obtained from a pilot contamination randomization approach, as shown in the sequel. To get rid of the other three matrices  $\mathbf{U}_{D,bj\kappa_j}$ ,  $\Xi_{g,bbk}$  and  $\Xi_{g,bj\kappa_j}$  in channel estimation, we can employ LS criterion as an alternative. Then, the sparse channel representation and spatial-frequency channel vector can be respectively estimated as

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathbf{g}}_{D,bbk}^{\text{LS}} &\approx \mathbf{U}_{D,bbk}^\dagger \mathbf{y}_b \\ &= \mathbf{g}_{D,bbk} + \sum_{j=0, j \neq b}^{B-1} (\mathbf{U}_{D,bbk}^H \mathbf{U}_{D,bbk})^{-1} \mathbf{U}_{D,bbk}^H \mathbf{U}_{D,bj\kappa_j} \mathbf{g}_{D,bj\kappa_j} + (\mathbf{U}_{D,bbk}^H \mathbf{U}_{D,bbk})^{-1} \mathbf{U}_{D,bbk}^H \mathbf{w}_b, \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{h}}_{bbk}^{\text{LS}} \approx \mathbf{U}_{D,bbk} \hat{\mathbf{g}}_{D,bbk}^{\text{LS}} \quad (26)$$

where  $\mathbf{U}_{D,bbk}^\dagger = (\mathbf{U}_{D,bbk}^H \mathbf{U}_{D,bbk})^{-1} \mathbf{U}_{D,bbk}^H$ .

In (25),  $\mathbf{U}_{D,bbk}^H \mathbf{U}_{D,bj\kappa_j} \approx \mathbf{0}$  holds in sparse channels according to Proposition 1. This means that the pilot contamination can also be mitigated by using the LS channel estimation if the approximated subspace of desired channel  $\mathbf{U}_{D,bbk}$  can be obtained. We call (25) and (26) as a desired channel subspace aware LS channel estimation.

It is worth noting that such a LS channel estimation we proposed needs the subspace  $\mathbf{U}_{D,bbk}$ , which is essential to remove the pilot contamination. Without using the approximated subspace of the desired channel  $\mathbf{U}_{D,bbk}$ , the traditional LS channel estimate is

$$\hat{\mathbf{h}}_{bbk}^{\text{LS}T} = \text{vec}(\mathbf{Y}_b \mathbf{X}^{-1}) \quad (27)$$

where the pilot contamination exists.

*1) Subspace Acquisition:* In what follows, we show that the desired channel subspace  $\mathbf{U}_{D,bbk}$  can be acquired by computing the intersection of approximated receive signal subspaces over multiple frames after randomizing the pilot contamination. Such an idea of subspace estimation is motivated by the following fact: the angle spread and power delay profile embedded in channel correlation matrix change slowly in practice and hence can be regarded as unchanged during several frames. This suggests that the desired channel subspace can be distinguished from the interference channel subspace if we artificially change the interference users in different frames.

Consider  $T$  successive frames each consisting of a uplink training phase and a downlink transmission phase.

For an arbitrary user, say the  $k$ th user in the  $b$ th cell, its interference user  $\kappa_j$  from the  $j$ th cell varies over the  $T$  frames with the pilot assignment policy to be designed later. To differentiate the interference users in different frames, we denote  $\kappa_j^t$  as the index of the interference user from the  $j$ th cell in the  $t$ th frame in the sequel.

In the  $t$ th frame, the received training signal after removing the pilots at the  $b$ th BS in (22) can be expressed as

$$\mathbf{y}_b^t \approx \mathbf{U}_{D,bbk} \mathbf{g}_{D,bbk}^t + \sum_{j=0, j \neq b}^{B-1} \mathbf{U}_{D,bj\kappa_j^t} \mathbf{g}_{D,bj\kappa_j^t}^t + \mathbf{w}_b^t \quad (28)$$

Considering the fact that by representing the channel with sparse form in (13), the power of the channel will concentrate on  $\mathbf{g}_D$ , which is much stronger than the power of noise. Hence,



it is reasonable to neglect the noise term in (28), and then it is easy to obtain the following proposition.

*Proposition 3:* The received signal after removing the pilots can be approximated as

$$\mathbf{y}_b^t \approx \mathbf{U}_{D,y}^t \mathbf{g}_{D,y}^t \quad (29)$$

where  $\mathbf{g}_{D,y}^t$  is a  $r_y \times 1$  vector,  $\mathbf{U}_{D,y}^t$  is the matrix whose columns are selected from  $(\mathbf{F}_N \otimes \mathbf{F}_M)$ , and the subspace spanned by the columns of  $\mathbf{U}_{D,y}^t$  equals to the sum of the subspaces spanned by the columns of  $\mathbf{U}_{D,bb_k}$  and  $\mathbf{U}_{D,bj_{\kappa_j^t}}$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \dots, B-1$ , i.e.,

$$\text{Span}(\mathbf{U}_{D,y}^t) = \text{Span}(\mathbf{U}_{D,bb_k}) + \sum_{j=0, j \neq b}^{B-1} \text{Span}(\mathbf{U}_{D,bj_{\kappa_j^t}}) \quad (30)$$

where  $+$  represents the sum operation of subspaces.

*Proof:* Since the columns of  $\mathbf{U}_{D,bb_k}$  and  $\mathbf{U}_{D,bj_{\kappa_j^t}}$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \dots, B-1$  are all selected from  $(\mathbf{F}_N \otimes \mathbf{F}_M)$ , we use all selected columns to construct  $\mathbf{U}_{D,y}^t$ . Then the proposition is proved. ■

Based on (30), we can further obtain the following result.

*Proposition 4:* If  $\bigcap_{t=0}^{T-1} (\sum_{j=0, j \neq b}^{B-1} \text{Span}(\mathbf{U}_{D,bj_{\kappa_j^t})) = \emptyset$ , the subspace  $\text{Span}(\mathbf{U}_{D,bb_k})$  can be acquired as

$$\text{Span}(\mathbf{U}_{D,bb_k}) = \bigcap_{t=0}^{T-1} \text{Span}(\mathbf{U}_{D,y}^t) \quad (31)$$

where  $\cap$  represents the intersection operation of subspaces, and  $\emptyset$  denotes an empty set.

*Proof:* Substitute (30) into the right side hand of (31), we obtain (31). ■

The condition  $\bigcap_{t=0}^{T-1} (\sum_{j=0, j \neq b}^{B-1} \text{Span}(\mathbf{U}_{D,bj_{\kappa_j^t})) = \emptyset$  in Proposition 4 indicates that the subspaces of the interference received in the  $T$  frames should not be overlapped. To meet such a condition, we can simply randomize the pilot contamination over the  $T$  frames. For instance, we can arrange the order of the pilots for users in each cell during each frame, such that each desired user will be interfered by different users from neighboring cells in different frames. This can be implemented by pilot assignment policy.

Moreover, (31) provides a method to acquire the approximated subspace of desired channel  $\mathbf{U}_{D,bb_k}$ . In practice, the subspace acquisition can be performed sequentially over the successive frames. The algorithm including subspace acquisition and channel estimation is summarized as follows.

---

**Algorithm 1** Subspace acquisition and channel estimation
 

---

- 1: Initialize  $t = 0$  and  $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{F}_N \otimes \mathbf{F}_M$
  - 2: In the  $t$  frame
    - (a). Transform the received signal after removing pilots  $\mathbf{y}_b^t$  to angular-time domain by DFT, i.e., left multiply  $\mathbf{y}_b^t$  by  $\mathbf{F}_N^H \otimes \mathbf{F}_M^H$ .
    - (b). Select the most significant  $r_y$  elements of the angular-time domain representation of  $\mathbf{y}_b^t$ .
    - (c). Construct  $\mathbf{U}_{D,y}^t$  by the  $r_y$  columns of  $\mathbf{F}_N \otimes \mathbf{F}_M$ , which correspond to the selected  $r_y$  elements.
  - 3: Select the common columns in both  $\mathbf{U}$  and  $\mathbf{U}_{D,y}^t$  to construct  $\mathbf{U}_{D,bbk}^t$ .
  - 4: Using  $\mathbf{U}_{D,bbk}^t$  as an estimate of  $\mathbf{U}_{D,bbk}$  to perform the channel estimation in (25) and (26).
  - 5: Update  $t = t + 1$  and  $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{U}_{bbk}^t$ .
    - (a). If  $t = T - 1$ , go to Step 4.
    - (b). Otherwise, return to Step 2.
- 

2) *Pilot Assignment Policy*: In the sequel, we design a pilot assignment policy over the  $T$  frames to randomize the pilot contamination. To satisfy the condition  $\cap_{t=0}^{T-1} (\sum_{j=0, j \neq b}^{B-1} \text{Span}(\mathbf{U}_{D,bj_{\kappa_j^t}})) = \emptyset$  in Proposition 4, we can let  $\sum_{j=0, j \neq b}^{B-1} \text{Span}(\mathbf{U}_{D,bj_{\kappa_j^t}}), t = 0, 1, \dots, T - 1$  be constituted by different users over the  $T$  frames, i.e.,

$$\kappa_j^{t_1} \neq \kappa_j^{t_2}, 0 \leq t_1, t_2 \leq T - 1, t_1 \neq t_2 \quad (32)$$

A simple way to do this is using circularly shifted pilots. Under the assumption that the pilot signals for the users in the same cell is time-division orthogonal, the pilot assignment is equivalent to the training symbol assignment.

Denote  $\mathbf{A}_b, b = 0, \dots, B - 1$  as the  $T \times K_c$  pilot assignment matrices for the adjacent  $B$  cells. Denote its  $(t, k)$ th element  $\mathbf{A}_b[t, k]$  as the index of the training symbol that is assigned to the  $k$ th user in the  $b$ th cell during the  $t$ th frame, whose value ranges from 0 to  $K_c - 1$ .

We generate  $\mathbf{A}_b$  by circularly shifting the preceding row vectors: the first row vector of  $\mathbf{A}_b$  is given as  $[0, 1, \dots, K_c - 1]$ , and the  $r$ th row vector is rotated  $b$  elements to the left relative to the  $(r - 1)$ th row vector. The expression of  $\mathbf{A}_b$  is given in (35) on the top of next page.

The following proposition indicates that such a pilot assignment policy satisfies (32) under mild conditions.

$$\mathbf{A}_b = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \cdots & K_c - 1 \\ b \bmod K_c & (b + 1) \bmod K_c & \cdots & (b - 1) \bmod K_c \\ & \cdots & & \\ (T - 1)b \bmod K_c & ((T - 1)b + 1) \bmod K_c & \cdots & ((T - 1)b + K_c - 1) \bmod K_c \end{pmatrix} \quad (35)$$

*Proposition 5:* If  $K_c \geq T$ ,  $K_c \geq B$ , and  $K_c$  is a prime number, the indices of interference users  $\kappa_j^0, \dots, \kappa_j^{T-1}$  will be totally different.

*Proof:* Based on the assignment  $\mathbf{A}_0, \dots, \mathbf{A}_{B-1}$ , after regular manipulations we can show that the index of the interference user in the  $t$ th frame is,

$$\kappa_j^t = (k - t(j - b)) \pmod{K_c} \quad (33)$$

where  $(\cdot) \pmod{K_c}$  denotes the remainder of  $(\cdot)$  divided by  $K_c$ . For any two frames  $t_1 \neq t_2$ ,  $0 \leq t_1, t_2 \leq T - 1$ , we have

$$\kappa_j^{t_1} - \kappa_j^{t_2} = ((t_2 - t_1)(j - b)) \pmod{K_c} \quad (34)$$

Note that  $0 < |t_2 - t_1| < T$  and  $0 < |j - b| < B$ . When  $T, B < K_c$  and  $K_c$  is a prime number,  $|t_2 - t_1|(j - b)|$  is indivisible by  $K_c$ . Thus the right hand side of (34) is non-zero, i.e.,  $\kappa_j^{t_1} \neq \kappa_j^{t_2}$  holds. ■

The condition  $K_c \geq T$  in the proposition implies that the proposed subspace acquisition and channel estimation algorithm should converge rapidly. This is easy to satisfy as shown in simulations later. Another condition  $K_c \geq B$  is also easy to be satisfied for typical massive MIMO systems, since only several adjacent cells are subject to mutual interference. If this condition cannot be satisfied in some scenarios, the condition in Proposition 4 will not hold. Although this causes errors in estimating the approximated subspace  $\mathbf{U}_{D,bb_k}$ , the final channel estimation can still be improved. For the third condition, when  $K_c$  is not a prime number, we can chose a prime number  $K'_c$  slightly larger than  $K_c$  and generate the  $T \times K'_c$  assignment matrices. Then, we choose the first  $K_c$  columns to form the final pilot assignment matrices.

Note that the proposed pilot assignment policy does not need to share information among BSs, which can be implemented as follows. In cellular networks, each cell has a cell index.




Fig. 2. Illustration of the proposed pilot assignment.

When each user in the  $b$ th cell stores the pilot assignment matrix  $\mathbf{A}_b$  given in (35), it can select the pilots according to its cell index,  $b$ , as well as its own user index. An example is shown in Fig. 2. For the reference cell with index 0, i.e., cell 0, its three users respectively with indices 0, 1 and 2 always employ the training symbols with indices 0, 1, and 2. For the cell with index 1, its three users respectively with indices 0, 1 and 2 employ the training symbols with indices 0, 1, and 2 in frame 0, the training symbols with indices 1, 2, 0 in frame 1, and the training symbols with indices 2, 0, 1 in frame 2, respectively.

The proposed pilot assignment policy can be regarded as a kind of *pilot sequence hopping*, which concept has been introduced in the Long Term Evolution (LTE) system to randomize the interference [25]. One difference between our method and that in LTE is the design of the pattern. Our method ensures that for an arbitrary user the pilot contamination in different frames are not generated from the same group of users, but the method in LTE cannot. The other difference is the purpose. Our pilot assignment is designed for acquiring the subspace of the desired receive signal to facilitate the desired channel subspace-based LS channel estimation, but the method in LTE is used to randomize the interference directly. The proposed method also differs from other pilot assignment policies in the context of pilot decontamination [11–14], as stated in the introduction.

## V. SIMULATION AND NUMERICAL RESULTS

In this section, we evaluate the performance of the proposed method of pilot decontamination. We consider a network of seven adjacent hexagonal cells, i.e.,  $B = 7$ . The radius of each

cell is 250 m, and the path-loss is modeled as  $PL^{\text{dB}} = 35.3 + 37.6\log_{10}(d)$ , where  $d$  (in meter) is the distance between the BS and the user. To remove the boundary effect, wrap around is considered.

Each BS serves 10 uniformly located single-antenna users. The transmit powers of each user and each BS are 23 dBm and 46 dBm, respectively. The number of subcarriers is  $N = 1024$  and the subcarrier interval is  $\Delta = 15\text{kHz}$  [25]. We consider the spatial channel model in [26], where the channel of each user contains six multipath components with exponential distributed delays and angle spread of  $8^\circ$ , and each multipath component is constituted by 20 sub-paths. The simulation results are averaged over 50 random drops of users, where in different drops the small scale fading channels are independently generated.

Unless otherwise specified, this simulation setup is used for all results in the sequel.

#### A. Validation of Approximation with DFT Representation and Subspace Orthogonality

In Fig. 3, we evaluate the approximation accuracy of the DFT representation in (13). We use  $\|\mathbf{h} - \mathbf{U}_D \mathbf{g}_D\|^2 / \|\mathbf{h}\|^2$  to reflect the approximation error.  $r_g/(MN)$  in x-coordinate is the ratio of the size of  $\mathbf{g}_D$  to the size of the spatial-frequency channel vector  $\mathbf{h}$ . A smaller value of  $r_g/(MN)$  can be selected for a more sparse channel. From the figure we can see that DFT representation in (13) is accurate. In the considered channel, if we select 0.2% elements of  $\mathbf{h}$  to construct  $\mathbf{g}_D$ , the approximation error is less than 1% (say, 0.4% for  $M = 64$ ). As the number of antennas increases, to achieve the same level of accuracy, the required ratio  $r_g/(MN)$  slightly decreases due to the improved resolution of the antenna array.

In Fig. 4, we validate the approximate orthogonality between the subspaces of the desired channel and the interference channels (i.e., the subspaces spanned by the eigenvectors of  $\mathbf{R}_{bb_k}$  and  $\sum_{j=0, j \neq b}^{B-1} \mathbf{R}_{bj\kappa_j}$ ). We employ the *principle angles* of two subspaces to measure the orthogonality. For arbitrary two subspaces  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  with dimensionality  $p$  and  $q$  ( $q < p$ ), respectively, the principle angles  $\gamma_k$  are obtained recursively for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, q$  as  $\cos(\gamma_k) = \max_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{F}} \max_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{G}} \mathbf{u}^H \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u}_k^H \mathbf{v}_k$  subject to the constraints  $\mathbf{u}^H \mathbf{u} = 1$ ,  $\mathbf{v}^H \mathbf{v} = 1$ ,  $\mathbf{u}_j^H \mathbf{u} = 0$ , and  $\mathbf{v}_j^H \mathbf{v} = 0$  [27], where  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k - 1$ . If all values of  $\cos(\gamma_k)$  equal to zero, the subspaces  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  are exactly orthogonal. On the other hand, if all values of  $\cos(\gamma_k)$  equal to one, the subspaces  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  are completely overlapped, i.e., they are the same subspace. We show the cumulative distribution function (CDF) of the principle angles of the desired channel and the interference channel(s).




Fig. 3. Approximation accuracy of the DFT representation in (13).




Fig. 4. CDF of the principle angles between the subspaces of the desired channel and the interference channel(s).

We can see that the subspaces are near-orthogonal when there is only one interference channel. When the number of interference channels increases, the orthogonality deteriorates, yet the two subspaces are still approximately orthogonal.

### B. Performance of Pilot Decontamination Method

In Fig. 5, we provide the sum rate per cell achieved by the proposed method versus the number of successive frames. The zero-forcing (ZF) beamforming with equal power allocation is employed for downlink transmission. To illustrate the impact of the subspace of desired channel on the pilot decontamination, the following cases are considered.

- Perfect channel.
- The proposed pilot decontamination method with perfectly known  $\mathbf{U}_D$  for the desired channel subspace aware LS channel estimation (with legend “Decon. with prior known  $\mathbf{U}_D$ , ( $r_g/(MN) = 0.002$ )”), where  $r_g$  is the number of selected significant components in (13).
- The proposed pilot decontamination method with estimated  $\mathbf{U}_D$  for the desired channel subspace aware LS channel estimation (with legend “Decon. with est.  $\mathbf{U}_D$  ( $r_y/(MN) = 0.005$ )” and “Decon. with est.  $\mathbf{U}_D$  ( $r_y/(MN) = 0.05$ )”), where  $r_y$  is the number of selected significant components in (29). Considering the estimation error on  $\mathbf{U}_D$ , we select more conservative values for  $r_y$ , which exceed  $r_g$ .
- Traditional LS channel estimate  $\hat{\mathbf{h}}_{bb_k}^{LS_T}$  in (27) (with legend “Contaminated channel”).

It is shown from the figure that the desired channel subspace aware LS channel estimation can efficiently eliminate the contamination. Moreover, the performance of the proposed method with estimated  $\mathbf{U}_D$  converges rapidly. The speed of the convergence depends on the value of  $r_y/(MN)$ . With smaller  $r_y/(MN)$ , the performance converges faster, because more insignificant components of the received signal are discarded, most of which come from the interference. However, with smaller  $r_y/(MN)$ , the performance degrades slightly as the number of frames increases, because some components of the desired channel are discarded. We can also see that even with perfect  $\mathbf{U}_D$ , the proposed method still has a performance loss from the perfect channel case. Such a loss comes from the following two facts. The first is the approximation in the sparse representation under DFT basis. The other is that the subspaces of the desired channel and the interference channel are not perfectly orthogonal, which causes residual pilot contamination.

The traditional LS channel estimate performs worse due to the contaminated pilots, whose only difference from the proposed LS channel estimation in (25) and (26) is not using the desired channel subspace  $\mathbf{U}_D$ . Note that even in the first frame where the desired channel subspace estimate is severely corrupted by interference, the proposed method still outperforms the traditional LS channel estimation attribute to the reduction of noise.

In Fig. 6, we compare the proposed method with the blind pilot decontamination method in [8] (with legend “Blind decon.”), which exploits the asymptotic orthogonality between desired channel and interference channel in i.i.d. channels. The same as [8], our method also does not need the coordination among cells, and also does not require pilots to obtain the desired channel subspace. As baseline, the performance with perfect channel and the traditional LS channel estimate are also shown. To satisfy the condition in separating desired channel from interference channels for blind decontamination [8], in the simulation we use a simple power control to ensure the power of the interference not higher than the power of the desired signal for the cell-edge user. 1000 samples are used to compute the sample covariance required in [8]. It is shown from the figure that the proposed method is much superior. The blind decontamination method does not perform well because the desired and interference channels are far from orthogonal in the considered channel even when  $M$  is large. Considering that the proposed pilot assignment policy can be regarded as a kind of *pilot sequence hopping*, whose concept resembles the pilot randomization in LTE systems, we also provide the result using the pilot hopping in LTE (with legend “Contaminated channel in LTE”). Specifically, the pilot sequences are constructed from the Zadoff-Chu sequences (ZCS) as  $x_n = x_{n \bmod N_{ZC}}^v, n = 0, \dots, N - 1$ , where  $x_m^v = \exp(-j\pi v m(m+1)/N_{ZC}), m = 0, \dots, N_{ZC} - 1, v$  is the index of the root ZCS, and  $N_{ZC}$  is the largest prime number such that  $N_{ZC} < N$  [25]. The pilot sequences for the users in the same cell are the cyclic shift version of one ZCS. The pilot sequence sets used for the users in different cells are different groups of ZCS generated by different  $v$ . We can see that although the users in different cells employ different groups of pilots as in LTE, pilot contamination is still severe.

In Fig. 7, we show the impact of correlation of spatial channel on the performance of the proposed method. It is shown that the performance degradation of the proposed method is minor. This is because although the AOAs of the desired and interference channels are more likely overlapped with large angle spread and may not be resolvable in angle domain with a






Fig. 5. Achievable sum rate per-cell vs. the number of frames  $T$ ,  $M = 128$ .




Fig. 6. Achievable sum rate per-cell vs. the number of antennas  $M$ .




Fig. 7. Achievable sum rate per-cell vs. the angle spread,  $M = 128$ .

“not-so-large” antenna array, the desired channel can still be well-separated from the interference channels thanks to the sparsity in time domain. This validates that when considering the realistic spatial-time sparse channels in massive MIMO-OFDM systems, the coordination among cells to satisfy the non-overlapping AOA constraint in [10] is unnecessary.

## VI. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we resolved pilot contamination problem for wideband massive MIMO systems by exploiting the inherent channel sparsity in both time domain and frequency domain in spatial-frequency correlated channels. By representing the channel in sparse form via KLT and DFT, we find that the subspaces of the desired channel and the interference channels are approximately orthogonal. This allows to mitigate pilot contamination with linear MMSE channel estimation if channel correlation matrices of desired and interference users can be available at each BS or with LS channel estimation if the subspace of the desired channel can be available. To reduce the required *a priori* information at each BS, we proposed a pilot decontamination method, which includes desired channel subspace aware LS channel estimation, desired channel subspace acquisition, and pilot assignment among successive uplink frames. Simulation results shown that

the proposed method can effectively reduce the pilot contamination in massive MIMO-OFDM systems under spatial-frequency correlated channels and demonstrated substantial rate gain over existing methods.

## REFERENCES

- [1] T. L. Marzetta, "Noncooperative cellular wireless with unlimited numbers of base station antennas," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 9, no. 11, pp. 3590–3600, Nov. 2010.
- [2] E. G. Larsson, O. Edfors, F. Tufvesson, and T. L. Marzetta, "Massive MIMO for next generation wireless systems," *IEEE Commun. Mag.*, vol. 52, no. 2, pp. 186–195, Feb. 2014.
- [3] J. Jose, A. Ashikhmin, T. L. Marzetta, and S. Vishwanath, "Pilot contamination and precoding in multi-cell TDD systems," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 10, no. 8, pp. 2640–2651, Aug. 2011.
- [4] J. Hoydis, S. T. Brink, and M. Debbah, "Massive MIMO in the UL/DL of cellular networks: How many antennas do we need?" *IEEE J. Select. Areas Commun.*, vol. 31, no. 2, pp. 160–171, Feb. 2013.
- [5] H. Wu, X. Gao, and X. You, "Robust equalizer for multi-cell massive MIMO uplink with channel model uncertainty," *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*, early access.
- [6] F. Fernandes, A. Ashikhmin, and T. L. Marzetta, "Inter-cell interference in noncooperative TDD large scale antenna systems," *IEEE J. Select. Areas Commun.*, vol. 31, no. 2, pp. 192–201, 2013.
- [7] H. Q. Ngo and E. G. Larsson, "EVD-based channel estimation in multicell multiuser MIMO systems with very large antenna arrays," in *Proc. IEEE ICASSP*, 2012.
- [8] R. Müller, L. Cottatellucci, and M. Vehkaperä, "Blind pilot decontamination," *IEEE J. Select. Topics Signal Process.*, vol. 8, no. 5, pp. 773–786, Oct. 2014.
- [9] M. Teeti, J. Sun, D. Gesbert, and Y. Liu, "The impact of physical channel on performance of subspace-based channel estimation in massive MIMO systems," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, early access.
- [10] H. Yin, D. Gesbert, M. Filippou, and Y. Liu, "A coordinated approach to channel estimation in large-scale multiple-antenna systems," *IEEE J. Select. Areas Commun.*, vol. 31, no. 2, pp. 264–273, Feb. 2013.
- [11] X. Zhu, Z. Wang, L. Dai, and C. Qian, "Smart pilot assignment for massive MIMO," *IEEE Commun. Lett.*, early access.
- [12] L. Su and C. Yang, "Fractional frequency reuse aided pilot decontamination for massive MIMO systems," in *Proc. IEEE VTC Spring*, 2015.
- [13] X. Yan, H. Yin, M. Xia, and G. Wei, "Pilot sequences allocation in TDD massive MIMO systems," in *Proc. IEEE WCNC*, 2015.
- [14] J. H. Sørensen and E. de Carvalho, "Pilot decontamination through pilot sequence hopping in massive MIMO systems," in *Proc. IEEE GLOBECOM*, 2014.
- [15] B. Yang, K. B. Letaief, R. S. Cheng, and Z. Cao, "Channel estimation for OFDM transmission in multipath fading channels based on parametric channel modeling," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 49, no. 3, pp. 467–479, Mar. 2001.
- [16] X. Rao and V. K. N. Lau, "Distributed compressive csit estimation and feedback for FDD multi-user massive MIMO systems," *IEEE Trans. Signal Processing*, vol. 62, no. 13, pp. 3261–3271, June 2014.
- [17] R. B. Ertel, P. Cardieri, K. W. Sowerby, T. S. Rappaport, and J. H. Reed, "Overview of spatial channel models for antenna array communication systems," *IEEE Pers. Commun.*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 10–22, Feb. 1998.

- [18] A. Adhikary, J. Nam, J.-Y. Ahn, and G. Caire, "Joint spatial division and multiplexing in the large-scale array regime," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 59, no. 10, pp. 6441–6463, Oct. 2013.
- [19] A. Poon, R. Brodersen, and D. Tse, "Degrees of freedom in multiple-antenna channels: a signal space approach," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 51, no. 2, pp. 523–536, Feb. 2005.
- [20] A. M. Sayeed, "Deconstructing multiantenna fading channels," *IEEE Trans. Signal Processing*, vol. 50, no. 10, pp. 2563–2579, Oct. 2002.
- [21] D. Tse and P. Viswanath, *Fundamentals of Wireless Communication*. Cambridge University Press, 2005.
- [22] L. Huang, C. Ho, J. W. M. Bergmans, and F. M. J. Willems, "Pilot-aided angle-domain channel estimation techniques for MIMO-OFDM systems," *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*, vol. 57, no. 2, pp. 906–920, Mar. 2008.
- [23] S. Kim, "Angle-domain frequency-selective sparse channel estimation for underwater MIMO-OFDM systems," *IEEE Commun. Lett.*, vol. 16, no. 5, pp. 685–687, May 2012.
- [24] A. Mertins, *Signal Analysis: Wavelets, Filter Banks, Time-Frequency Transforms and Applications*. John Wiley & Sons, 2010.
- [25] 3GPP TS 36.211, "Physical channels and modulation (Release 10)," 3rd Generation Partnership Project (3GPP), Tech. Rep., 2010.
- [26] 3GPP TR 25.996, "Spatial channel model for multiple input multiple output (MIMO) simulations (Release 12)," 3rd Generation Partnership Project (3GPP), Tech. Rep., 2014.
- [27] A. Björck and G. H. Golub, "Numerical methods for computing angles between linear subspaces," *Math. Comp.*, vol. 27, no. 123, pp. 579–594, July 1973.